2007 Research Days Abstract Form – Department of Ophthalmology – UNIFESP/EPM SCIENTIFIC SECTION PREFERENCE (REQUIRED): Review the Scientific section Descriptions. Select and enter the two -lette Code for the one (1) Section best sullied to review your abstract 1. FIRST (PRESENTING) AUTHOR (REQUIRED) Must be author listed first in body of abstract () R1 (x) PG0 ()R2 ()R3 ()PG1 ()Estagiário ()Tecnólogo ()PIBIC RS 3. PRESENTATION PREFERENCE (REQUIRED) Check one (1) (a) Paper (b) Poster PARANHOS Juliane First Name de Freitas Santos Middle Last Name Refractive Surgery Service (sector) 490-06 Nº CEP (Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa da Universidade Federal de São Paulo-UNIFESP) 4. The signature of the First (Presenting) Author, (REQUIRED) acting as the authorized agent for all authors, hereby contribution of an extension of the sink and the "UNIFESP Ethical Committee" 5. ABSTRACT (REQUIRED) Evaluation of the impact of intracorneal ring segments implantation on quality of life of patients with keratoconus using the NEI -RQL (National Eye Institute Refractive Error Quality of life) instrument. Signature of First Paranhos JFS, Paranhos Jr A, Ávila MP, Schor P. PURPOSE: To evaluate the outcome of intracorneal ring segments implantation on quality of life of patients with keratoconus using the NEI-RQL (National Eye Institute Refractive Error Quality of life) instrument. METHODS: The questionnaire was administered to patients that had indication for intracorneal ring implantation before and after surgery when they were wearing the best correction for at least one month. RESULTS: Twelve patients were included in this study. Descriptive statistics were used due to the smal I number of patients in this pilot study. Before surgery the spherical equivalent (EE) ranged from +0.75D to -16.15D (mean -3.94D ± 4.37) and after the ring implantation it ranged from -0.75D to -16.15D (mean -1.69D ± 1.95) considering operated and non o perated eyes. Best corrected visual acuity ranged from 0 to 1.2 (mean 0.39 ± 0.31) log mar before surgery and from 0.9 to 0 (mean 0.17 ± 0.20) after surgery. The best corrected visual acuity improved in all operated eyes. The mean overall questionnaire scale increased from 42.14 ± 15.65 before to 73.03 ± 7.32 after surgery. Patient satisfaction was greater in subscales of clarity of vision (mean ranged from 34.85 before to 78.22 after surgery), expectations (mean 4,55 to 40.91), far vision (mean 46.16.75 to 8 2.17), near vision (mean 40.15 to 83.71), vision fluctuations (mean 37.12 before to 70.08 after) and suboptimal correction (mean 13.64 to 34.09) and satisfaction with correction (45.45 to 85.45). Worry about the disease, symptoms and appearance were about the same after surgery. CONCLUSIONS: Intracomeal ring implantation surgery improved many aspects of quality of vision and the overall scale suggesting that the quality of life improved after surger sample so we could use analytic statistics to prove our initial conclusions. Paranhos JFS, Paranhos Jr A, Ávila MP, Schor P. Scientific Section Descriptions Scientific Section Descriptions (OR) ORDIT (PL) OCULAR PLASTIC SURGERY (RE) RETRA AND VITEOUS (RX) REFRACTION-CONTACT LENSES (RX) REFRACTION-CONTACT LENSES (TU) TUAGES AND PATHOLOGY (TU) TUMORS AND PATHOLOGY (TU) TUMORS AND PATHOLOGY (TU) TUMORS AND PATHOLOGY (U) COLOGINE AND EXTERNAL DISEASE (C) COLOGNEA AND EXTERNAL DISEASE (C) COLOGNEA AND EXTERNAL DISEASE (GL) GLAUCOMA (RS) REFRACTIVE SURGERY (CA) CATARACT (US) OCULAR ULTRASOUND (TR) TRAJUMA (LA) LABORATORY (BE) OCULAR BIOENGINEERING (EP) EPIDEMIOLOGY (EF) ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY Deadline: 29/10/2007 FORMAT: Abstract should contain: Title, Name of Authors, Name of other authors (maximum 6), Purpose, Methods, Result Conclusions. Example: ARVO (1.10 x 1.70) Abstract Book s.